urgent for Mr. Pollack and Mr. Johum."

Now correcting the matter ~~on the witness stand~~, Haefliger called these statements "subjection errors." He had clung to the hope that he would be only a witness, not a defendant - - that was one error.

I must say that I repeatedly made personal considerations in this affidavit, some of which I cannot maintain after gaining an insight into the documents. Without going into details, these are predominantly the passages which refer to Dr. Ilgner's participation during the years before the annexation.

Dr. Ilgner had entitled his plans to gain the munitions industries of Austria and Czechoslovakia "NEW ECONOMIC ORDERS." It would not look good for either him or Haefliger if the court believed that their plunderings were part of an overall scheme of years before. But Herr Haefliger was equal to his predicament.

It seemed that the Jew Pollach, disturbed by talk of coming pogroms, had a desperate idea. He was so enthusiastic that he went to Ilgner and Haefliger to see if they would help him. And, said Haefliger, they agreed. By an unwitting coincidence, Pollak's idea was called, "The New Order for the Major Chemical Industries of Austria."

That was Pollak's idea, Pollak who belonged to Skodawerke Wetzler. The heading, 'The New Order' may give rise to the impression that this was a plan born only out of the political annexation. That is an error. General Director Pollak justifiably saw a revival of this branch of industry which otherwise would have been doomed to failure. The Skodawerke Wetzler and Dynamit Nobel were to have been put together, you see. One firm was to take in another, and we wanted to get a majority, if possible. That was the whole plan. General Director Pollak had seen a sound thing in such a merger, and quite rightly.

That Pollak wished to create a merger of all these